

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/720,177		NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DELIA M. RAMIREZ		1652	

All Participants:

(1) DELIA M. RAMIREZ.

(2) Shelly Cermak.

Date of Interview: 8 February 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Delia M. Ramirez/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652

Status of Application: after BPAI decision

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Ms Cermak to indicate that since the 112 first and second paragraphs rejections were reversed by the BPAI, and since claims 12 and 18 were not rejected as obvious over the prior art rejection which was affirmed, the Examiner had the option of converting claims 12 and 18 into independent form by an Examiner's amendment or give applicant the opportunity to amend those claims accordingly. Ms Cermak elected to have the Examiner amend the claims and pass the application to issue..